



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/674,172	(01/04/2000	Andreas Bohle	10890-2MIS:J	3048
24223	7590	08/06/2004		EXAMINER	
SIM & MC	BURNE	Y	ZEMAN, ROBERT A		
330 UNIVE	RSITY AV	/ENUE			
6TH FLOOR	₹		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TORONTO,	ON M5	G 1R7	1645		
CANADA					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/674,172	BOHLE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robert A. Zeman	1645					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	1	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) c will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frocause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely, om the mailing date of this communication, NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	ay 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		,					
4) Claim(s) <u>1,4,8-16 and 20-23</u> is/are pending in t	he application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,8-16 and 20-23</u> is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) <u>1,4,8-16 and 20-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)□ objected to by the	e Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is o	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applica	ation No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been recei	ved in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ved.					
Attachment(s)							
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	producting .	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5-21-04 has been entered.

The amendment and response filed on 5-21-2004 are acknowledged. Claims 1, 4, 8-9, 11-16 and 23 have been amended. Claims 2-3 have been amended. Claims 1, 4, 8-16 and 20-23 are pending and currently under examination.

Claim Rejections Withdrawn

The rejection of claims 1-4, 8-12, 16 and 23 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the use **of Bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG)** for the therapeutic treatment of condylomata acuminata, does not reasonably provide enablement for the use of **all** *Mycobacterium* species/strains for the therapeutic treatment of **all** disease conditions caused by papilloma virus infections is withdrawn in light of the amendment thereto.

The rejection of claims 1-4 and 8-15 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being vague and indefinite as they are lacking in positive active steps of the methods is

Art Unit: 1645

withdrawn in light of the amendment to amendment thereto. The cancellation of claims 2-3 has rendered the rejection of said claims moot.

The rejection of claims 1, 11-13 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being rendered vague and indefinite by the use of the term "area of infection" is withdrawn in light of the amendment thereto.

The rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being rendered vague and indefinite by the use of the term "treatment dose" is withdrawn in light of the amendment thereto.

The rejection of claim 8 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being rendered vague and indefinite by the confusing language used in reciting the multiple ranges that encompass the limitations of the claim is withdrawn in light of the amendment thereto.

The rejection of claims 1, 2 and 9 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Herr et al. (Journal of Urology Vol. 141, pages 22-29, 1989) is withdrawn in light of the amendment thereto. Herr et al. do not disclose the use of BCG to treat condylomata acuminata and hence cannot anticipate the rejected claims.

The rejection of claims 1-4, 8-16 and 20-23 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herr et al. (Journal of Urology Vol. 141, pages 22-29, 1989) in view of Morton (GB2179858A) is withdrawn in light of the amendment thereto.

New Claim Objections

Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 8 recites the phrase "from about 1 to about 30 days for applications more than one". While one can discern what is being claimed, the claim language is grammatically clumsy. It is

Art Unit: 1645

suggested that the aforementioned phrase be amended to read "from about 1 to about 30 days where the applications number more than one" in order to increase claim clarity.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 20-23 are objected to as being dependent on rejected claims.

New Grounds of Rejection

35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 4, 8-16, 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 11-16 and 23 are rendered vague and indefinite by the use of the phrase "to the infection". It is unclear what is meant by said phrase since the instant claims are drawn to methods of treating condylomata acuminata. Condylomata acuminata is a projecting warty growth on the external genitals or the anus caused by infection with the human papillomavirus (HPV). While caused by an infectious agent, condylomata acuminata is not generally considered an infection itself. Rather, condylomata acuminata is a condition or symptom of infection. Therefore, it is unclear to what "infection" Applicant is referring. Moreover, it is unclear what portion of the body is encompassed by "the infection". It would be remedial, if the instant claims were amended to recite "to the affected area" in lieu of the phrase "to the infection".

Art Unit: 1645

Claims 8 and 9 are rendered vague and indefinite by the use of the phrase "of the infection". It is unclear what is meant by said phrase since the instant claims are drawn to methods of treating condylomata acuminata. Condylomata acuminata is a projecting warty growth on the external genitals or the anus caused by infection with the human papillomavirus (HPV). While caused by an infectious agent, condylomata acuminata is not generally considered an infection itself. Rather, condylomata acuminata is a condition or symptom of infection. Therefore, it is unclear to what "infection" Applicant is referring. Moreover, it is unclear what portion of the body is encompassed by "the infection" or how it could by "treated" using a method comprising ablative surgery (claim 9). It would be remedial, if the instant claims were amended to recite "to the affected area" in lieu of the phrase "of the infection".

35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 1645

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Brandau et al. (Urological Research (1997) Vol. 25 No.1, page 94).

Brandau et al. disclose the use of Bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) as a treatment for bladder tumors. Brandau et al further disclose the use of a keratolytic agent to alleviate the side effects of the BCG wherein said keratolytic agent is salicylic acid.

Brandau et al. differ from the instant invention in that they do not explicitly disclose the use of BCG and salicylic acid in the same composition.

It would have been obvious for one of skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine BCG and salicylic acid in a single composition in order the simplify treatment protocols.

One would have had a reasonable expectation of success since the combination of an adjuvant (salicylic acid) with the "active" component (BCG) is common practice within the art.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1645

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Zeman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0866. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Thursday, 7am -5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (571) 272-0864. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert A. Zeman

August 2, 2004